# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER**

Page 1 of 2

**DOCKET NO.:** 2007-0769-IWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN100224641 **CASE NO.:** 33497

**RESPONDENT NAME:** Southwestern Public Service Company

ORDER TYPE:					
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING			
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER			
AMENDED ORDER	DED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER				
CASE TYPE:					
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE			
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION			
XWATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL			
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION			
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Nichols Station Power Plant, northwest of the intersection of Lakeside Drive and St. Francis Avenue, 1.25 miles west of State Highway 136 and 6.0 miles northeast (via State Highway 136) of Amarillo, Potter County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Steam electric generating facility					
SMALL BUSINESS: Yes X	_ No				
OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.					
INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.					
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg	rister comment period expired on October 1, 2007.	No comments were received.			
CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: Ms. Melissa Keller, SEP Coordinator, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1768  TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Ms. Libby Hogue, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 3, MC 149, (512) 239-1165; Mr. David Van Soest, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0468  Respondent: Mr. David Eves, President and CEO, Southwestern Public Service Company, P.O. Box 1261, Amarillo, Texas 79105					

# **RESPONDENT NAME:** Southwestern Public Service Company **DOCKET NO.:** 2007-0769-IWD-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHAR	Г:	
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation: Complaint	Total Assessed: \$4,320	Ordering Provisions:
Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up X Records Review  Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this	Total Deferred: \$864  X Expedited Settlement  Financial Inability to Pay	1) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). (See SEP Attachment A)
Case: None	SEP Conditional Offset: \$1,728	2) The Order will also require the Respondent to, within 60 days after the
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: April 17, 2007  Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: April 27, 2007 (NOE)  Background Facts: This was a routine	Total Paid to General Revenue: \$1,728  Site Compliance History Classification  High X Average Poor  Person Compliance History Classification	effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. WQ0001990000. The certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance.
record review. One violation was	HighX_AveragePoor	demonstrate comphance.
documented.	Major Source:YesX_No	
WATER	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	7
Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations [Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0001990000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Réquirements No. 1 for		
Outfalls 001A, 005A, and 004A].		

Additional ID No(s).: TX0124575, WQ0001990000

the transfer with a second to the second second second

# Attachment A Docket Number: 2007-0769-IWD-E

### SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent: Southwestern Public Service Company

Payable Penalty Amount: Three Thousand Four Hundred Fifty-Six Dollars (\$3,456)

SEP Amount: One Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$1,728)

Type of SEP: Pre-approved

Third-Party Recipient: Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development

Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")- Unauthorized Trash Dump Clean-Up

**Location of SEP:** Potter County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

### 1. Project Description

# A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to coordinate with city and/or county governmental officials regarding the clean up of sites where trash has been disposed of illegally. Eligible sites will be limited to those where a responsible party can not be identified and where there is no pre-existing obligation to clean up the site by the owner or the government. Additionally, reasonable efforts must have already been taken to prevent the dumping. SEP monies will be used to pay for the direct cost of collection and disposal of debris. All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of the project and no portion will be spent on administrative costs. The SEP will be done in accordance with all federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

# B. <u>Environmental Benefit</u>

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by helping rid communities of the dangers and health threats associated with non-regulated trash dumps which contaminate air and water, and harbor disease carrying animals and insects.

ner i de la Caraca de Valoria de Alabara de Caraca de La Caraca de and high pathological and a subsequent of the second

n an eight of the property of the most of the property of the

and the first of the second second

a North Control of the Control of t The Control of the Control of

Southwestern Public Service Company Agreed Order – Attachment A

# C. <u>Minimum Expenditure</u>

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

# 2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. 1716 Briarcrest Drive, Suite 510 Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

# 3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

# 4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Financial Administration Division, Revenues Attention: Cashier, MC 214 P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

and the second s

and the second of the second s CARLESCE SOUTH OF ST

The state of the s

in the second of the second of

A GOOD WAY SHOW THE

Control of the Company of the American Market

Signification of a dage of the parties of a second of the second of the

Southwestern Public Service Company Agreed Order - Attachment A

# 5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

# 6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

# 7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

And the second state of the second se

un material de la composition de la co la composition de la la composition de la

 $\label{eq:continuous} |\psi_{n}(x)| = |\psi_{n}(x)| + |\psi_{n}($ 

en en 1900 de la composition de la com Composition de la co La composition de la

a house the still be of the same

to and the first of the control of the second of the second the control of the control of the second of the second of the control of the cont

Policy Revision 2 (Septe	Penalty Calculation	n Worksheet (Po	•	n April 26, 2007
TCEQ				
DATES Assigned PCW	14-May-2007           15-May-2007         Screening         15-May-2007	EPA Due		
RESPONDENT/FACILITY I	NFORMATION			
Respondent	Southwestern Public Service Company			double
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.		14	M:	_
Facility/Site Region	1-Amarillo	Major/Minor Source	Wilnor	
CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No.	33497	No. of Violations	1	
Docket No.	2007-0769-IWD-E	Order Type		-
Media Program(s)	Water Quality	Enf. Coordinator		
Multi-Media		\$10,000	EnforcementTeam 3	
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum	\$10,000		
	Penalty Calcula	tion Section		- Incompany
	•		and the second second	
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penaltie	s)	Subtotal 1	\$3,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1 ed by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by	the indicated percentage.		The second secon
Compliance Histo			otals 2, 3, & 7	\$1,410
	A 47% enhancement is recommended for h	aving nine NOVs for same		Average Averag
Notes	or similar effluent violations and			Min-reconstruction of the control of
Notes	non-similar violatio			
Culpability	No vinitaria interpreta de 0%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	culpability criteria.		
Good Faith Effort	to Comply 0%	Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
Good Faith Enort	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	17eddcilol1	oubtotui o	
Extraordinary				
Ordinary				
N/A	X (mark with x)		1	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	good faith criteria.		
110100			]	
	<b>200</b> see a se	Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
A Company of the Comp		at the Total EB \$ Amount	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·
Approx. 0	Cost of Compliance \$2,000			
	ing and the second of the seco			\$4,410
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	<b>5. 1-7</b> : - 13일 : - 11일 : 12일 : 12[ 12] :		Final Subtotal	φ <del>4,410</del>
OTHER EAGTORS AS	LUCTIOE MAY DECLUDE	-2%	Adjustment	-\$90
Peduces or enhances the Final S	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE ubtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only		Aujustinent	
ixeduces of enhances the final o	Recommended reduction in the p	penalty to prevent	1	
Notes	double-enhancement of the po			
	for same violations that were no	ot self-reported.		
		Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$4,320
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT	Final Ass	essed Penalty	\$4,320
The second secon			ers of the same	A004
DEFERRAL		20% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$864
Reduces the Final Assessed Pen	alty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g	g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Notes	Deferral offered for expedite	d settlement		
Notes	Beleffal offered for expedite	S COMOTTOTAL		

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$3,456

A		D - 4		~ ~ +
Sere	anına	11210 1	<b>ら</b> _1/1つい-20	MI
UULU	CHILIM	Date	5-May-20	$J \cup I$

Docket No. 2007-0769-IWD-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision April 26, 2007

Respondent Southwestern Public Service Company Case ID No. 33497

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100224641 Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Libby Hogue

Compliance	History '	Workshee

Component		Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	9	45%
	Other written NOVs		2%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria).		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	Ö	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	/ 0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
Addition	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%:
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Outlot	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment P	ercentage (St	ıbtotal 2)
Violator (Su	btotal 3)	Processors.	
No	Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 3)
ance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average P	erformer Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 7)
ance History	Summary		
Compliance History Notes	A 47% enhancement is recommended for having nine NOVs for same or similar effluent viol NOV for a non-similar violation.	ations and one	
	<u> Para alikan Karajak kanamara, adap at kata 1948 an Karata at karata ada a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a </u>	أوسعه مطلوبا المواعلية	

Screening Date	15-May-2007	Docket No. 20	07-0769-IWD-E	PCW
· ·	Southwestern Public Service	ce Company	Po	icy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.				PCW Revision April 26, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.				110000000000000000000000000000000000000
Media [Statute]				000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Enf. Coordinator				omacovoca
Violation Number			- /05//	
Rule Cite(s)	Discharge Elimination	(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 30 System ("TPDES") Permit No ng Requirements No.1 for Out	. WQ0001990000, Effluent	олительного
	Limitations and infinition	ng requirements No. 1 for Out	and out to to to	
Violation Description	Failed to c	comply with the permitted efflu See attached table.	ent limitations.	
			Base Pen	alty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Ma	trix	per consideration and against	workdowniii:200
	Harm			VALUE AND
OR Release		Minor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Potentia		L X Pe	ercent 10%	West of the second seco
	<u> </u>	<u></u>	L	
>>Programmatic Matrix	AND THE PARTY OF T	A CHELDREN SHAREST STATE OF THE SECOND		WALKER WAS A STATE OF THE STATE
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
		<u> </u> Pe	ercent 0%	CO. (MANAGE SERVICE)
As a resu	It of this violation, human he	ealth or the environment has b	een exposed to insignificar	ıt 📗
Matrix amounts	of pollutants which do not e	xceed levels protective of hum	nan health or environmenta	<b>!</b> .
		receptors.		
	CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Adj	ustment \$9	,000
				\$1,000
				\$1,000
Violation Events	e and the control of the latest of the lates	numero man Asteriation in 1997	Gert State Committee Commi	ula dist
2.3200 (元代) (大学社会 10.00m (10.00m) (10	AND REPRESENTED AND REPORT OF THE PERSON OF	HAPERIO SEC.	2000 B00 D00 1-4 1-7-4 \$12.000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
Number of Vi	olation Events 3	93 N	umber of violation days	
1.400	L. C. JEHONSSA	7		
Administration	daily monthly			OCAMERO
mark only one	quarterly x		Violation Base Per	nalty \$3,000
with an x	semiannual			***************************************
	annual			000000000000000000000000000000000000000
	single event			0.000
				<del></del> 1
	Three quarterly event	ts (one for each outfall) are red	commended.	
				***************************************
Economic Benefit (EB) for the	nis violation	iki juga parangan s	tatutory Limit Test	
#U.E-malders, inferences and companies assessed assessed by Art 1, 225, 200 date and account a companies from the result by a set	* Note 1817 for a production of the contract o		500 000000 12 ministrus 600000 01 <b>700 2</b> 000000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000	properso prej i planet dali i semmina dipropiano i 1945 i 1938 i 1985 i 1965 i 1965 i
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$150	Violation Final Penalty	Total \$4,320
1		This violation Final Assesse	d Penalty (adjusted for lir	mits) \$4,320
	Market Comment Comments	entre La Caractera del transaction paracteristica de la con-	man Paul Paul III ja manan ja	La resummunación de la composition della composi

Doonandan				OLKS	sneet	ywilds: en	in Table
		oublic Service Com	npany		the state of the state of the		
Case ID No	33497						
Reg. Ent. Reference No	. RN100224641					152 1 1	
Media	a Water Quality					MIZE OF THE	Years of
Violation No						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation	Na crosse a rein				na statani ili tuki		1
	1000000	Marie William	L. Children Hallen	outeus -	- Hagypail.	5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Descriptio	n No commas or \$					The New Street	
Delayed Costs	•		englik regist j	ere eregita e	diam sabija dipersona		
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	7 - 3 - 4 - 2 - 3 - 1			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		Lander a black of a	Sasti ellinge arten mes	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		in Landida a security	Andreas Arthur San Carlo	0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling			The same of the sa	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$2,000	31-Jul-2006	31-Jan-2008	1.5	\$150	n/a	\$150
onioi (as needed)		The second second second	a de la companya de	611.7	A CARLO STATE		<b>8</b> 8 - 43 - 45 Main 1999
Notes for DELAYED costs	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd samp ncompl npliance	ollng which could hance started and date.	nave reduced or alle the final date is the	vlated the anticipated
, ,	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd samp ncompl npliance ntering	oling which could hance started and date.	nave reduced or alle the final date is the one-time avoided	viated the anticipated costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd samp ncompl npliance ntering 0.0	iling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0	nave reduced or alle the final date is the one-time avoided \$0	viated the anticipated costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd samp ncompl npliance ntering 0.0 0.0	ling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0	one-time avoided	viated the anticipated
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Cost: Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd samp ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	ling which could hance started and batte.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  \$0 \$0 \$0	viated the anticipated  costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Cost. Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd samp ncompl npllance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	ing which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	viated the anticipated  costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COST. Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd samp ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	iling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	viated the anticipated  costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd sample ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	iling which could lance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0	viated the anticipated  costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost:  Avoided Cost:  Disposal  Personnel  Inspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment  Financial Assurance [2]	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd samp ncompliance ntering 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	iling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	viated the anticipated  costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	Itional oversight ar I is the date the no com	nd sample ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	iling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0	viated the anticipated  costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	itional oversight ar	nd samp ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	iling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	viated the anticipated  costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	The esti exceedal	mated cost for addinces. Date required	itional oversight ar i is the date the no com d costs before e	nd samp ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	iling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  SO	viated the anticipated  costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	The esti exceedal	mated cost for add nces. Date required	itional oversight ar i is the date the no com d costs before e	nd samp ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	iling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  SO	viated the anticipated  costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	The esti exceedal	mated cost for addinces. Date required	itional oversight ar i is the date the no com d costs before e	nd samp ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	iling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  SO	viated the anticipated  costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	The esti exceedal	mated cost for addinces. Date required	itional oversight ar i is the date the no com d costs before e	nd samp ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	iling which could hance started and date.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	iavé reduced or alle the final date is the one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	viated the anticipated  costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

# ATTACHMENT

# **Effluent Violation Table**

Southwestern Public Service Company
TPDES Permit No. WQ0001990000 (Outfalls 001A, 005A, and 004A)
Docket No. 2007-0769-IWD-E

Permitted Effluent Limits		Monitoring Period End Date				
Permitted Efficient Limits	07/31/2006	08/31/2006	01/31/2007			
(outfall 001A)						
pH > or = to 6.0 standard units nor exceed 9.0 standard units	C	С	9.14			
(outfall 005A)  Total Iron Daily max. 1.0 mg/L	8.3	С	С			
(outfall 005A)  TSS Daily max. 100 mg/L	1683	С	C			
(outfall 005A)  Total Aluminum  Daily max. 1.765 mg/L	4.2	5.1	С			
(outfall 004A)  Total Dissolved Solids  Daily max.  4500 mg/L	C	5240	С			

max. = maximum	
(> or =) = greater than or equal to	
mg/L = milligrams per liter	

The state of the s

# **Compliance History**

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN601481336	Southwestern Public Serv	rice Company	Classification	: AVERAGE	Rating: 1.91
Regulated Entity:	RN100224641	NICHOLS STATION POV	VER PLANT	Classification	: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 0.35
ID Number(s):	AIR OPERATIN	G PERMITS	ACCOUNT	NUMBER		PG0040T
		G PERMITS ND HAZARDOUS WASTE	PERMIT EPA ID			13 TXD007369713
	GENERATION INDUSTRIAL AT GENERATION	ND HAZARDOUS WASTE	SOLID WA (SWR)	STE REGISTRA	ATION#	30099
	WASTEWATER WASTEWATER		PERMIT PERMIT			WQ0001990000 TX0124575
	WASTEWATER		PERMIT PERMIT			TPDES0124575 WDW339
	UNDERGROUN	D INJECTION CONTROL	PERMIT			WDW340
	UNDERGROUN	ID INJECTION CONTROL  ID INJECTION CONTROL	PERMIT PERMIT			WDW341 WDW342
	UNDERGROUN AIR NEW SOUR	ID INJECTION CONTROL RCE PERMITS	PERMIT PERMIT			WDW338 45589
	AIR NEW SOUR		REGISTR/			75244
	AIR NEW SOUR		REGISTRA ACCOUNT	NUMBER		45589 PG0040T
	AIR NEW SOUR		AFS NUM			4837500004
	AIR NEW SOUR		PERMIT PERMIT			15815 · 18162
Location:	AND ST. FRAN HIGHWAY 136	DF THE INTERSECTION OF CIS AVE., 1.25 MILES WEST AND SIX MILES NORTHEAS	OF STATE T (VIA STATE	NO	September 01	06 Repeat Violator:
	HIGHWAY 130,	OF AMARILLO, POTTER CO	JUNIT, TEXAS	)	•	
TCEQ Region:	REGION 01 - A	MARILLO				
Date Compliance History Prepared:	May 15, 2007					
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			•		
Compliance Period:	May 14, 2002 to	o May 14, 2007			•	
TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Info Name: Ms. Libby Hogue		g this Compliance History  Phone: 512-239-1165				
	Sito	Compliance History Com	nonente			
Has the site been in existence and/or operation		•	Yes		•	
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership	p of the site during	g the compliance period?	No			
3. If Yes, who is the current owner?	•	A Company of the Comp	N/A			
4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?			N/A		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>.</u>
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?			N/A			
Components (Multimedia) for the Site :		•				
A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgeme	nts, and consent	decrees of the state of Texas	and the federal	government.		
N/A						

N/A

В.

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

and had a

maria ana A

Williams INA

the state of the second

1. 1 (A) - 2 " - 1 - 1

ONE SECTION SECTION ARTHURS AND ARE

Mary Constitution of the con-

Francis March Martin Contract

rangalara nda yyognid 5 a Madi a ragin

```
1 07/25/2002
                    (5983)
   2 02/18/2003
                    (9326)
   3 03/27/2003
                    (236720)
   4 04/28/2003
                    (329028)
   5 05/05/2003
                    (34913)
   6 05/27/2003
                    (329029)
   7 06/05/2003
                    (8158)
   8 06/27/2003
                    (329030)
   9 06/29/2003
                      (119021)
  10 07/24/2003
                      (145431)
                      (329031)
  11 07/30/2003
  12 08/07/2003
                      (115691)
  13 08/21/2003
                      (151395)
                      (329032)
  14 08/28/2003
  15 10/01/2003
                      (329033)
16 10/29/2003
                      (329034)
  17 12/01/2003
                      (329035)
  18 12/30/2003
                      (329036)
  19 01/23/2004
                      (329037)
  20 03/01/2004
                      (329026)
                      (265113)
  21 03/15/2004
  22 03/30/2004
                      (329027)
  23 04/16/2004
                      (267680)
  24 04/27/2004
                      (368070)
  25 05/25/2004
                      (368071)
  26 06/15/2004
                      (275624)
  27 06/25/2004
                      (277705)
  28 06/29/2004
                      (368072)
  29 07/28/2004
                      (368073)
  30 08/17/2004
                      (290518)
  31 08/31/2004
                      (368074)
  32 09/28/2004
                      (368075)
  33 10/26/2004
                      (368076)
  34 11/30/2004
                      (368077)
  35
     12/28/2004
                      (390655)
  36
     01/27/2005
                      (390653)
  37 01/27/2005
                      (390656)
  38 02/16/2005
                      (350894)
  39 03/17/2005
                      (373771)
  40 03/29/2005
                      (390654)
                      (428097)
  41 05/03/2005
  42 05/27/2005
                      (428098)
  43 06/14/2005
                      (395656)
  44 06/17/2005
                      (395437)
                      (428099)
  45 06/27/2005
  46 07/08/2005
                      (395957)
  47 07/12/2005
                      (399580)
  48 07/19/2005
                      (448069)
                      (401900)
  49 07/28/2005
  50 08/17/2005
                      (404814)
  51 08/25/2005
                      (448070)
  52 09/23/2005
                      (448071)
                      (493588)
  53 10/25/2005
  54 11/22/2005
                      (437259)
                      (493589)
  55 11/29/2005
                      (493590)
  56 12/28/2005
                      (451256)
  57 01/04/2006
  58 01/26/2006
                      (493591)
  59 02/13/2006
                      (454988)
                      (493586)
  60 03/03/2006
```

61 03/27/2006

(493587)

Site of a con-

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 11/23/2005

(437259)

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(4)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)(2) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)(3) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] TWC Chapter 26 26.121(b) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(c) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(d) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(e)

Rgmt Prov:

TWC Chapter 26 26.121[G] **PERMIT Permit Conditions** 

Description:

Failure by the facility to prevent an unauthorized discharge of wastewater.

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

Rqmt Prov:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) PERMIT Effluent Limitations & Monitoring Req.

Description:

Failure to maintain pH effluent parameters within permitted range.

Date: 07/31/2006

(529009)

Self Report? YES

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 08/31/2006

(529010)

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 01/31/2007 Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Н. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. 1.

N/A

Early compliance. J.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

62	05/02/2006	(506857)
63	05/26/2006	(506858)
64	05/31/2006	(464866)
65	06/01/2006	(479818)
66	06/29/2006	(506859)
67	07/24/2006	(529008)
68	08/18/2006	(529009)
69	08/24/2006	(509018)
70	09/26/2006	(529010)
71	10/27/2006	(552115)
72	10/31/2006	(517326)
73	11/27/2006	(552116)
74	12/27/2006	(552117)
75	03/23/2007	(553951)
76	05/07/2007	(557271)

Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) E.

Date: 02/18/2003

(9326)

Self Report? NO

Classification:

Citation:

40 CFR Chapter 270, SubChapter I, PT 270, SubPT C 270.30(a)

Ramt Prov:

OP Section XIII, Part A

Description:

Failed to adhere to permit provision.

Date: 06/30/2003

(329031)

Self Report? YES

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 02/29/2004

(329027)

Self Report? YES

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2004

(368073)

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2005

(448069)

Self Report? YES Classification:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 08/31/2005

(448071)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE	§	
COMPANY	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN100224641	· ·	

# AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-0769-IWD-E

### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Southwestern Public Service Company ("SPSC") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and SPSC appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. SPSC owns and operates a steam electric generating facility northwest of the intersection of Lakeside Drive and St. Francis Avenue, 1.25 miles west of State Highway 136 and 6.0 miles northeast (via State Highway 136) of Amarillo, Potter County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. SPSC has discharged industrial waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. The Commission and SPSC agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that SPSC is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. SPSC received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 2, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by SPSC of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

· .

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Four Thousand Three Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$4,320) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). SPSC has paid One Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$1,728) of the administrative penalty and Eight Hundred Sixty-Four Dollars (\$864) is deferred contingent upon SPSC's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require SPSC to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. One Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$1,728) shall be conditionally offset by the completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project.
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and SPSC have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that SPSC has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

# II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, SPSC is alleged to have failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, in violation of Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0001990000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 for Outfalls 001A, 005A, and 004A, as documented during a record review conducted on April 17, 2007, as indicated in the table below.

State of the second seco

and the second of the second of

Effluent Violation Table Southwestern Public Service Company TPDES Permit No. WQ0001990000 (Outfalls 001A, 005A, and 004A)					
Permitted Effluent Limits	Monitoring Period End Date				
	07/31/2006	08/31/2006	01/31/2007		
(outfall 001A)  pH  > or = to 6.0 standard units  nor exceed 9.0 standard units	С	С	9.14		
(outfall 005A)  Total Iron Daily max. 1.0 mg/L	8.3	C	С		
(outfall 005A)  TSS  Daily max. 100 mg/L	1683	С	C		
(outfall 005A)  Total Aluminum  Daily max. 1.765 mg/L	4.2	5.1	С		
(outfall 004A)  Total Dissolved Solids  Daily max.  4500 mg/L	C	5240	C		

max. = maximum
(> or =) = greater than or equal to
mg/L = milligrams per liter

# III. DENIALS

SPSC generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

A Company of the Comp

# Carrier September 1

The first the second of the se

# IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that SPSC pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and SPSC's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Southwestern Public Service Company, Docket No. 2007-0769-IWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The SPSC shall implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") in accordance with Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6, One Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$1,728) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that SPSC implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The SPSC's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
- 3. It is further ordered that the SPSC shall within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. WQ0001990000. The certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance, be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public, and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

The art of the second s

Southwestern Public Service Company DOCKET NO. 2007-0769-IWD-E Page 5

with a copy to:

Mr. Jim McWilliams, Water Manager Amarillo Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 3918 Canyon Drive Amarillo, Texas 79109-4933

- 4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon SPSC. SPSC is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 5. If SPSC fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, SPSC's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. SPSC shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. SPSC shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after SPSC becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by SPSC shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until SPSC receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against SPSC in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 8. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 9. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to SPSC, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to SPSC, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

ense de la company de la c La company de la company de

GO MARKATE A

e van voor 1900 (m. 1900). De van voor 1900 (m. 1900) De van voor 1900 (m. 1900). De van voor 1900 (m. 1900). De van voor 1900 (m. 1900). De van voor 1900 (m. 1900).

the consequence of the consequence The consequence of the consequence

(a) The second of the secon

(a) The second of the secon

and the second And the second And the second second

and the second of the second o

nager in the Communication of the Communication of

For the Commission

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of

Southwestern Public Service Company

# SIGNATURE PAGE

10/5/07

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

I, the undersigned, have read and unattached Agreed Order on behalf of and conditions specified therein, penalty amount, is materially relying	of the entity indicated below I further acknowledge that	w my signature, and	I I do agree to the terms
I also understand that failure to contimely pay the penalty amount, may  A negative impact on comp  Greater scrutiny of any perm  Referral of this case to the penalties, and/or attorney for a linereased penalties in any for automatic referral to the A  TCEQ seeking other relief of In addition, any falsification of any	y result in:  pliance history;  mit applications submitted;  e Attorney General's Offices, or to a collection agence future enforcement actions;  ttorney General's Office of as authorized by law.	ee for contempt, injucy; f any future enforcer	anctive relief, additional nent actions; and
Signature  DAVID L. Fues		JULY 24, Date  PRESIDENT	• •

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Title

er og skriver og forskriver og skriver og skriver og skriver. I Det en fljageren og skriver og kommen skriver og skriver og skriver og skriver og skriver og skriver og skri

and the second of the second o

Contract despite a service of the se

Tall M. Ville

# Attachment A Docket Number: 2007-0769-IWD-E

### SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent: Southwestern Public Service Company

Payable Penalty Amount: Three Thousand Four Hundred Fifty-Six Dollars (\$3,456)

SEP Amount: One Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$1,728)

Type of SEP: Pre-approved

Third-Party Recipient: Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development

Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")- Unauthorized Trash Dump Clean-Up

**Location of SEP:** Potter County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

# 1. Project Description

### A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to coordinate with city and/or county governmental officials regarding the clean up of sites where trash has been disposed of illegally. Eligible sites will be limited to those where a responsible party can not be identified and where there is no pre-existing obligation to clean up the site by the owner or the government. Additionally, reasonable efforts must have already been taken to prevent the dumping. SEP monies will be used to pay for the direct cost of collection and disposal of debris. All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of the project and no portion will be spent on administrative costs. The SEP will be done in accordance with all federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

# B. <u>Environmental Benefit</u>

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by helping rid communities of the dangers and health threats associated with non-regulated trash dumps which contaminate air and water, and harbor disease carrying animals and insects.

i de la companya di seria di s Seria di se

in de la gradie de la companya de l La companya de la co

and the second of the second o

The contract of the contract o

A description of the second of the

and the second of the second o

The Office of State of the Stat

and the second of the second o

Southwestern Public Service Company Agreed Order – Attachment A

# C. <u>Minimum Expenditure</u>

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

### 2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. 1716 Briarcrest Drive, Suite 510 Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

# 3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

# 4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Financial Administration Division, Revenues Attention: Cashier, MC 214 P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

Austin, 1exas /6/11-3000

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

Company and the first of the second of the second

en kombonista (j. 1901.). Po koja se poslavaj koja di koja probenova koja problema je je koja <mark>valač</mark>i. Po nekonomista je koja koja koja koja po dobenom koja koja koja koja koja koja koja problema je koja koja koja

and the second of the second o en i german grafie i de destarta da Alf. An l'ada de la completa de destarta de la completa de la completa de la completa de la completa de la completa

The state of the s

inger of the state of the second of the property of the second of the se

 $(-1)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (A_{k} \otimes A_{k} \otimes A_{$ 

Andrew Company of the second second of the s

Congression and Administration is properly in the African Structure of the Asset of Marie Congression and Asset

I sufficient and the secretary of the , omas Madinal set dustrate and me et al. ()

The control of the first of the

Southwestern Public Service Company Agreed Order – Attachment A

# 5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

# 6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

# 7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

The second secon

and and an experience of the second of the

and the second of the second o

 $\label{eq:constraints} (x,y) = (x,y) + (x,y)$ 

ing and the second control of the second section of the second of the se